UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,206	01/22/2004	Toru Matsuda	247891US2	2349
22850 7590 07/31/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			RAYYAN, SUSAN F	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2167	
				1
	•		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
·			07/31/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/761,206	MATSUDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan F. Rayyan	2167				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutotron Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the state of the stat	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 l	Mav 2007.					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/761,206 Page 2

Art Unit: 2167

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 16, 2007 has been entered.

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

3. Claims 1-23 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made

Claims 1-2, 5-6, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0130945 issued to Michael Patrick Force et al ("Force") in view of US Patent Number 4,627,019 issued to Fred K. Ng ("Ng") in view of Pub. No. US 2003/0088677 issued to Hiroshi Yamamoto ("Yamamoto").

As per independent claim 1 Force teaches:

a session management unit configured to manage a series of session first processing steps and incidental information in a state where a session with a client is maintained (see paragraph 118, session management engine and client ID equates to incidental information);

and a transaction management unit configured to manage a series of indivisible transaction steps performed by using the function ... (paragraph 102, Transaction Processing Server and 120 predetermined transaction functions),

wherein the session management unit is configured to start the management of the session processing steps when an express management start request is received from the client (paragraph 119-120, Session Manager receives client request to perform operations) or when an implicit request to start management of the session processing steps other than the express management start request is received (paragraph 122)

requests from unattended interface module ).

Force does not explicitly teach performed by using a function that needs exclusive access control. Ng teaches a function that needs exclusive access control at column 1, lines 28-30 to provide synchronization of current access. It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Force with exclusive access control to provide synchronization of current access as described by Ng ( column 1, lines 20-25).

Force and Ng do not explicitly teach by extending a time-out period, which indicates how long the incidental information is stored, by an amount based on the function that needs exclusive access control. Yamamoto does teach this limitation at paragraph 13 and 58 as extending timeout intervals to prevent unintended session timeouts. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Force in view of Ng with extending a time-out period, which indicates how long the incidental information is stored, by an amount based on the function that needs exclusive access control to prevent unexpected session timeouts as described by Yamamoto (abstract).

#### As per independent claim 2 Force teaches:

a session management unit configured to manage a series of session first processing steps and incidental information in a state where a session with a client is maintained (see paragraph 118, session management engine and client ID equates to incidental information);

and a transaction management unit configured to manage a series of indivisible transaction steps performed by using the function ... (paragraph 102, Transaction Processing Server and 120 predetermined transaction functions), wherein the session management unit is configured to start the management of the session processing steps when an express management start request is received from the client (paragraph 119-120, Session Manager receives client request to perform operations) and an implicit request to start management of the session processing steps other than the express management start request is received (paragraph 119).

Force does not explicitly teach performed by using a function that needs exclusive access control. Ng teaches a function that needs exclusive access control at column 1, lines 28-30 to provide synchronization of current access at column 1, lines 20-25. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Force with exclusive access control to provide synchronization of current access at column 1, lines 20-25.

Force and Ng do not explicitly teach by extending a time-out period, which indicates how long the incidental information is stored, by an amount based on the function that needs exclusive access control. Yamamoto does teach this limitation at paragraph 13 and 58 as extending timeout intervals to prevent unintended session timeouts. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Force in view of Ng with extending a time-out period, which indicates how long the incidental information is stored, by an amount based on the function that

needs exclusive access control to prevent unexpected session timeouts as described by Yamamoto (abstract).

### As per independent claim 5 Force teaches:

a session management unit configured to manage a series of session first processing steps and incidental information in a state where a session with a client is maintained (see paragraph 118, session management engine and client ID equates to incidental information);

and a transaction management unit configured to manage a series of indivisible transaction steps performed by using the function ... (paragraph 102, Transaction Processing Server and 120 predetermined transaction functions),

wherein the transaction management unit is configured to start the management of the transaction processing steps when an express management start request is received from the client or when an implicit request to start management of the transaction processing steps other than the express management start request is received ... (paragraph 102, Transaction Processing Server and 120 predetermined transaction functions).

Force does not explicitly teach performed by using a function that needs exclusive access control. Ng teaches a function that needs exclusive access control at column 1,

Page 7

Art Unit: 2167

lines 28-30 to provide synchronization of current access at column 1, lines 20-25. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Force with exclusive access control to provide synchronization of current access at column 1, lines 20-25.

Force and Ng do not explicitly teach by extending a time-out period, which indicates how long the incidental information is stored, by an amount based on the function that needs exclusive access control. Yamamoto does teach this limitation at paragraph 13 and 58 as extending timeout intervals to prevent unintended session timeouts. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Force in view of Ng with extending a time-out period, which indicates how long the incidental information is stored, by an amount based on the function that needs exclusive access control to prevent unexpected session timeouts as described by Yamamoto (abstract).

#### As per independent claim 6 Force teaches:

a session management unit configured to manage a series of session first processing steps and incidental information in a state where a session with a client is maintained (see paragraph 118, session management engine and client ID equates to incidental information);

and a transaction management unit configured to manage a series of indivisible transaction steps performed by using the function ... (paragraph 102, Transaction Processing Server and 120 predetermined transaction functions),

wherein the transaction management unit is configured to start the management of the transaction processing steps when an express management start request is received from the client and when an implicit request to start management processing steps other than the express management start request is received ... (paragraph 102, Transaction Processing Server and 120 predetermined transaction functions).

Force does not explicitly teach performed by using a function that needs exclusive access control. Ng teaches a function that needs exclusive access control at column 1, lines 28-30 to provide synchronization of current access at column 1, lines 20-25. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Force with exclusive access control to provide synchronization of current access at column 1, lines 20-25.

Force and Ng do not explicitly teach by extending a time-out period, which indicates how long the incidental information is stored, by an amount based on the function that needs exclusive access control. Yamamoto does teach this limitation at paragraph 13 and 58 as extending timeout intervals to prevent unintended session timeouts. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Force in view of Ng with extending a time-out period, which indicates

how long the incidental information is stored, by an amount based on the function that needs exclusive access control to prevent unexpected session timeouts as described by Yamamoto (abstract).

# As per claim 11 same as claim arguments above and Ng teaches:

the transaction management unit is configured to receive a lock type ... (column1, lines 26-35).

As per claim 12 same as claim arguments above and Ng teaches:

wherein the transaction management unit is configured to receives a lock type... (Column1, lines 26-35).

As per claim 13 same as claim arguments above and Ng teaches:

wherein the second management unit determines a classification of a lock request ... (Column1, lines 26-35).

Claims 3-4, 7-10, 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2003/0130945 issued to Michael Patrick Force et al ("Force") in view of US Patent Number 4,627,019 issued to Fred K. Ng ("Ng") in view of US Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0200212 issued to Donald Edward Benson et al ("Benson") in view of Pub. No. US 2003/0088677 issued to Hiroshi Yamamoto ("Yamamoto").

As per independent claims 3 Force teaches:

a session management unit configured to manage a series of session first processing steps and incidental information in a state where a session with a client is maintained (see paragraph 118, session management engine and client ID equates to incidental information);

and a transaction management unit configured to manage a series of indivisible transaction steps performed by using the function ... (paragraph 102, Transaction Processing Server and 120 predetermined transaction functions), wherein the session management unit is configured to end the management of the session processing steps when a management end request is received from the client (paragraph 119-120, Session Manager to include end requests).

Force does not explicitly teach performed by using a function that needs exclusive access control. Ng teaches a function that needs exclusive access control at column 1, lines 28-30 to provide synchronization of current access at column 1, lines 20-25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Force with exclusive access control to provide synchronization of current access at column 1, lines 20-25.

Force and Ng do not explicitly teach or when a time-out period expires. Benson does teach this limitation (paragraph 21, lines 4-5, end transaction call, paragraph 36,

timestamp and Figure 2, Expire Timestamp). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of the invention to modify Force and Ng with when a time-out period expires to support synchronization/recovery (paragraph 36, lines 12-13).

Page 11

Force in view of Ng in view of Benson do not explicitly teach by extending a time-out period, which indicates how long the incidental information is stored, by an amount based on the function that needs exclusive access control. Yamamoto does teach this limitation at paragraph 13 and 58 as extending timeout intervals to prevent unintended session timeouts. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Force in view of Ng in view of Benson with extending a time-out period, which indicates how long the incidental information is stored, by an amount based on the function that needs exclusive access control to prevent unexpected session timeouts as described by Yamamoto (abstract).

### As per independent claim 4 Force teaches:

a session management unit configured to manage a series of session first processing steps and incidental information in a state where a session with a client is maintained (see paragraph 118, session management engine and client ID equates to incidental information);

and a transaction management unit configured to manage a series of indivisible transaction steps performed by using the function ... (paragraph 102, Transaction Processing Server and 120 predetermined transaction functions), wherein the session management unit is configured to end the management of the session processing steps when a management end request is received from the client (paragraph 119-120, Session Manager to include end requests).

Force does not explicitly teach performed by using a function that needs exclusive access control. Ng teaches a function that needs exclusive access control at column 1, lines 28-30 to provide synchronization of current access at column 1, lines 20-25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Force with exclusive access control to provide synchronization of current access at column 1, lines 20-25.

Force and Ng do not explicitly teach or when a time-out period expires. Benson does teach this limitation (paragraph 21, lines 4-5, end transaction call, paragraph 36, timestamp and Figure 2, Expire Timestamp). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of the invention to modify Force and Ng with when a time-out period expires to support synchronization/recovery (paragraph 36, lines 12-13).

Force in view of Ng in view of Benson do not explicitly teach by extending a time-out period, which indicates how long the incidental information is stored, by an amount based on the function that needs exclusive access control. Yamamoto does teach this limitation at paragraph 13 and 58 as extending timeout intervals to prevent unintended session timeouts. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at

Application/Control Number: 10/761,206

Art Unit: 2167

the time of the invention was made to modify Force in view of Ng in view of Benson with extending a time-out period, which indicates how long the incidental information is stored, by an amount based on the function that needs exclusive access control to prevent unexpected session timeouts as described by Yamamoto (abstract).

#### As per independent claim 7 Force teaches:

a session management unit configured to manage a series of session first processing steps and incidental information in a state where a session with a client is maintained (see paragraph 118, session management engine and client ID equates to incidental information);

and a transaction management unit configured to manage a series of indivisible transaction steps performed by using the function ... (paragraph 102, Transaction Processing Server and 120 predetermined transaction functions),

wherein the transaction management unit is configured to end the management of the transaction processing steps when a management end request is received from the client (paragraph 102, Transaction Processing Server and 120 predetermined transaction functions) paragraph 119-120, Session Manager to include end requests).

Force does not explicitly teach performed by using a function that needs exclusive access control. Ng teaches a function that needs exclusive access control at column 1, lines 28-30 to provide synchronization of current access at column 1, lines 20-25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

modify Force with exclusive access control to provide synchronization of current access at column 1, lines 20-25.

Force and Ng do not explicitly teach or when a predetermined time-out period expires. Benson does teach this limitation (paragraph 21, lines 4-5, end transaction call, paragraph 36, timestamp and Figure 2, ExpireTimestamp). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Force and Ng with when a time-out period expires to support synchronization/recovery (paragraph 36, lines 12-13).

Force in view of Ng in view of Benson do not explicitly teach by extending a time-out period, which indicates how long the incidental information is stored, by an amount based on the function that needs exclusive access control. Yamamoto does teach this limitation at paragraph 13 and 58 as extending timeout intervals to prevent unintended session timeouts. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Force in view of Ng in view of Benson with extending a time-out period, which indicates how long the incidental information is stored, by an amount based on the function that needs exclusive access control to prevent unexpected session timeouts as described by Yamamoto (abstract).

# As per independent claim 8 Force teaches:

a session management unit configured to manage a series of session first processing steps and incidental information in a state where a session with a client is maintained

Page 15

and a transaction management unit configured to manage a series of indivisible transaction steps performed by using the function ... (paragraph 102, Transaction Processing Server and 120 predetermined transaction functions),

wherein the transaction management unit is configured to end the management of the transaction processing steps when a management end request is received from the client (paragraph 102, Transaction Processing Server and 120 predetermined transaction functions), paragraph 119-120, Session Manager to include end requests).

Force does not explicitly teach performed by using a function that needs exclusive access control. Ng teaches a function that needs exclusive access control at column 1, lines 28-30 to provide synchronization of current access at column 1, lines 20-25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Force with exclusive access control to provide synchronization of current access at column 1, lines 20-25.

Force and Ng do not explicitly teach or when a time-out period expires. Benson does teach this limitation (paragraph 21, lines 4-5, end transaction call, paragraph 36, timestamp and Figure 2, ExpireTimestamp). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Force and Ng with when a time-out period expires to support synchronization/recovery (paragraph 36, lines 12-13).

Application/Control Number: 10/761,206 Page 16

Art Unit: 2167

Force in view of Ng in view of Benson do not explicitly teach by extending a timeout period, which indicates how long the incidental information is stored, by an amount
based on the function that needs exclusive access control. Yamamoto does teach this
limitation at paragraph 13 and 58 as extending timeout intervals to prevent unintended
session timeouts. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at
the time of the invention was made to modify Force in view of Ng in view of Benson with
extending a time-out period, which indicates how long the incidental information is
stored, by an amount based on the function that needs exclusive access control to
prevent unexpected session timeouts as described by Yamamoto (abstract).

As per claim 9, same as claim arguments above and Force in view of Ng in view of Yamamoto do not explicitly teach wherein the transaction management unit is configured to transmit, before the management of the transaction processing steps has begun, , a lock request ... Benson does teach this limitation at (paragraph 93) to support synchronization/recovery. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Force and Ng with wherein the transaction management unit is configured to transmit, before the management of the transaction processing steps has begun, , a lock request ... to support synchronization/recovery paragraph 36, lines 12-13).

As per claim 10 same as claim arguments above and Benson teaches:

wherein the transaction management unit is configured to transmits, after the management of the transaction processing steps has ended, an unlock request to the module so that inhibition of using the function by other clients than said client is canceled (paragraph 93).

As per claim 14 same as claim arguments above and Force in view of Ng in view of Yamamoto do not explicitly teach the transaction management unit is configured to transmit, before the management of the transaction processing steps is started, a lock request ... (paragraph 93). Benson does teach this limitation at (paragraph 93) to support synchronization/recovery. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Force and Ng with the transaction management unit is configured to transmit, before the management of the transaction processing steps is started, a lock request ... to support synchronization/recovery paragraph 36, lines 12-13).

As per claim 15 same as claim arguments above and Benson teaches:

wherein the transaction management unit is configured to transmit, after the
management of the transaction processing steps has ended, an unlock request ...

(paragraph 93).

5. Claims 16-23 are rejected based on the same rationale as claims 1-8 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,206 Page 18

Art Unit: 2167

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan F. Rayyan whose telephone number is 571-272-1675. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on 571-272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SR

7/23/2007

JOHN COTTINGHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100